Exhibit A

Case Information

DC-18-09631 | STEVEN CREAR vs. DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.

Case Number DC-18-09631 File Date

07/25/2018

Court 101st District Court Case Type CNTR CNSMR COM DEBT Judicial Officer WILLIAMS, STACI Case Status OPEN

Party

PLAINTIFF CREAR, STEVEN

Address 1617 GILLAREL SPRINGS DALLAS TX 75241 Active Attorneys ▼

Pro Se

DEFENDANT

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.

Address 10550 DEERWOOD PARK BLVD SUITE 309 JACKSONVILLE FL 32256 Active Attorneys ▼
Lead Attorney
PENOT, CHARLES R,
Jr.
Retained

Work Phone 214-741-3009

Fax Phone 214-741-3055

Events and Hearings

07/25/2018 NEW CASE FILED (OCA) - CIVIL

07/25/2018 ISSUE CITATION ▼

ISSUE CITATION

07/25/2018 ORIGINAL PETITION ▼

ORIGINAL PETITION

07/25/2018 CASE FILING COVER SHEET ▼

CASE FILING COVER SHEET

07/25/2018 CITATION ▼

Anticipated Server

ATTORNEY

Anticipated Method

Actual Server

OUT OF STATE

Returned

08/08/2018

Comment

ATTY/JH

08/08/2018 RETURN OF SERVICE ▼

RETURN OF SERVICE

Comment

CITATION -

08/24/2018 ORIGINAL ANSWER - GENERAL DENIAL -

Answer and affirmative defenses.pdf

10/12/2018 DISMISSAL FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION ▼

101st Dismissal Letter - 2017

101st Dismissal Letter - 2017

Judicial Officer

WILLIAMS, STACI

Hearing Time

9:00 AM

Financia	I			
	EN nancial Assessme ayments and Cred			\$300.00 \$300.00
7/25/2018	Transaction Assessment			\$292.00
7/25/2018	Transaction Assessment			\$8.00
7/25/2018	PAYMENT (CASE FEES)	Receipt # 47567- 2018-DCLK	CREAR, STEVEN	(\$300.00)

Documents

ORIGINAL PETITION

CASE FILING COVER SHEET

ISSUE CITATION

RETURN OF SERVICE

101st Dismissal Letter - 2017

101st Dismissal Letter - 2017

Answer and affirmative defenses.pdf

THE STATE OF TEXAS FORM NO. 353-3 - CITATION

To:

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS INC JACKSONVILLE FL 32256 10550 DEERWOOD PARK BLVD SUITE 309

expiration of twenty days after you were served this citation and petition, a default judgment may be Commerce Street, Ste. 101, Dallas, Texas 75202. taken against you. Your answer should be addressed to the clerk of the 101st District Court at 600 answer with the clerk who issued this citation by 10 o'clock a.m. of the Monday next following the You have been sued. You may employ an attorney. If you or your attorney do not file a written

Said Plaintiff being STEVEN CREAR

Filed in said Court 25th day of July, 2018 against

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS INC

citation. If this citation is not served, it shall be returned unexecuted Suit on CNTR CNSMR COM DEBT etc. as shown on said petition, a copy of which accompanies this For Suit, said suit being numbered DC-18-09631, the nature of which demand is as follows:

Given under my hand and the Seal of said Court at office this 25th day of July, 2018 WITNESS: FELICIA PITRE, Clerk of the District Courts of Dallas, County Texas.

ATTEST: FELICIA PITRE, Clerk of the District Courts of Dallas, County, Texas

By_

AVIERHERNANDEZ

Deputy (3

ATTY

CITATION

DC-18-0963

STEVEN CREAR

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS INC.

25th day of July, 2018 **ISSUED THIS**

Dallas County, Texas Clerk District Courts, FELICIA PITRE

By: JAVIER HERNANDEZ, Deputy

Attorney for Plaintiff (PRO-SE) 1617 GILLAREL SPRINGS DALLAS TX 75241 STEVEN CREAR

DALLAS COUNTY CONSTABLE PAID SHEL FEES NOT PAID

OFFICER'S RETURN

Case No.: DC-18-09631

	County	Notary Public		
				to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.
	ر, 20	day of	before me this	Signed and sworn to by the said
	xas.)	(Must be verified if served outside the State of Texas.)	(Must be verified if s	
,	Deputy	Ву	\$	For Notary
	County,	of	\$	For mileage
ı				For serving Citation
			·	20, by delivering to the within named
	day of	.M. on the	o'clock	within the County ofat
	.M. Executed at	, ato'clock	, 20	Came to hand on theday of
				DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS INC.
				vs.
			•	Style: STEVEN CREAR
				Court No. 101st District Court

Case 3:18-cv-02312-C-BH Document 1-1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 7:17 PageID 10

DC-18-0963

STEVEN CREAR
PLAINTIFF,

F-101st
OF DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

V.

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.
DEFENDANT,

JUDICIAL DISTRICT

LIBEL, DEFAMATION AND FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICE ACT

Steven Crear, proceeding improper persona files this complaint against assignee Diversified Consultants Inc.

I.

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff Steven Crear files this compliant pursuant to the provisions Defendant, Diversified Consultant Inc, that they have committed libel, defamation, violation of the Fair Debt Collection Practice Act and gross negligence against Plaintiff.

Defendant Diversified Consultant, Inc, violated the "Civil Practice and Remedies Code Title 4. Liability in Tort Chapter 73. Libel Subchapter A. General Provisions. Sec. 73.001. Elements of Libel. A libel is a defamation expressed in written or other graphic form that tends to blacken the memory of the dead or that tends to injure a living person's reputation and thereby expose the person to pubic hatred, contempt or

Case 3:18-cv-02312-C-BH Document 1-1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 8 of 27 PageID 11 ridicule, or financial injury or to impeach a person's honesty, integrity, virtue, or reputation or to publish the natural defects of anyone and thereby expose the person to public hatred, ridicule, or financial injury"

Acts 1985, 69th Leg., ch. 959, Sec 1, eff. Sept. 1, 1985.

Defendant Diversified Consultant Inc also violated Texas Gross Negligence Statues under the Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 41.001(2). Gross negligence refers that conduct which is beyond ordinary negligence because it involves the actor's state of mind, a disregard for the safety of others.

Gross negligence is one of the grounds which allows a plaintiff to recover punitive damages. Tex. Civ. Prac & Rem. Code § 41.003(a) (West 2003). Unlike ordinary negligence where the evidentiary burden is a preponderance of the evidence (i.e. more likely than not), the evidentiary burden on the plaintiff to prove a defendant was grossly negligent is by "clear and convincing" evidence. This requires a measure of degree of proof that produces a firm belief or conviction of the truth of the allegations sought to be established. Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 41.001(2).

Gross negligence consists of both an objective and a subjective component. *U-Haul Int'l, Inc. v. Waldrip,* 360 S.W.3d 118 (Tex. 2012). The two elements of gross negligence are: 1) viewed objectively from the standpoint of the actor, and 2) the actor must have actual, subjective awareness of the risk involved, but nevertheless proceed in conscious indifference to the rights, safety, or welfare of others. *Lee Lewis Constr., Inc. v. Harrison,* 70 S.W. 3d 778, 785 (Tex. 2001) (citing *Transp. Ins. Co. v. Moriel,* 879 S.W.2d 10.23(Tex.1994): see Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 41.001(11).

Diversified Consultants, Inc., placed on my credit report Experian inaccurate and fraudulent information on three and four occasions, which has caused me financial injury, mental anguish and made a statement on my credit report that caused me harm and they were in violation of Civil Practice and Remedies Code Title 4. Liability in Tort Chapter 73. Libel Subchapter A. General Provisions. Diversified Consultants, Inc. operated in Gross Negligence by repeatedly placing AT & T fraudulent information on my credit report. I had a "0" balance with AT & T and Diversified Consultant Inc, being a third-party debt collector repeatedly

Case 3:18-cv-02312-C-BH Document 1-1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 9 of 27 PageID 12 place fraudulent information on AT&T account (the original creditor) three to four different times with different amount each time.

Diversified Consultants Inc. violated multiple areas of the Fair Debt Collection Act.

PARTIES

- 1. Plaintiff, Steven Crear, 1617 Gillarel Springs, Dallas, TX 75241
- Diversified Consultant Inc., 10550 Deerwood Park Blvd, Suite 309, Jacksonville, FL 32256-2805.

II.

JURSIDICATION

1. The above-caption Libel, Defamation and Fair Debt Collections Practice Act proceeding ("Libel, Defamation and Fair Debt Collections Practice Act") arises in and is related to the above-captioned case ("Libel, Defamation and Fair Debt Collections Practice Act"), which is currently of the (Texas Gross Negligence Violation. Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 41.001 (2). Texas Fair Debt Collection Practices Act § 392.001. Finance Code Title 5. Protection of Consumers of Financial Services Chapter 392 Debt Collection, Subchapter A. General Provisions.

POINT ONE

DEFENDANT DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.

Diversified Consultants, Inc., has caused me not to be approved for a line of credit that I was approved for by Destiny Properties LLC, by repeatedly over the years putting fraudulent information on my credit report. Such as account number 4099, dated opened 01/01/2016, then they updated the status of the account on 02/01/2016 in the amount of \$952.00. Experian investigated, and Experian deleted from my account. And AT&T also indicated that I had a "0" balance with their company as well. There was also an alert date from Experian on 03/04/2016 and it stated that I owe AT&T \$952.00 which is fraudulent. Diversified Consultant, Inc. again put the fraudulent amount of \$952.00 of me owing AT&T on 05/29/2016 on my Experian credit report and it came in a form as a status update. Then Diversified Consultants again placed this fraudulent

Diversified Consultant, Inc. placed a collection on my credit report of all potential creditors that I have done business with to view, which stated that I have a balance owing AT&T an amount of \$783.00 which the status date was shown on 05/21/2018, and the alert date was shown as 05/26/2018. Then, Diversified Consultant, Inc. RE-AGED the fraudulent amount of \$783.00 on the same AT&T account. The status shown on 06/10/2018 and the alert date 06/13/2018.

POINT TWO

LIBEL AND DEFAMATION

Under the Sec.73.001 in the Civil Practice and Remedies Code., Diversified Consultant, Inc. violated this section of law. Plaintiff was damaged on a line of credit that he was already approved for by Destiny Properties, LLC., on May 15, 2018. Because of the fraudulent/inaccurate information that Diversified Consultant, Inc., placed on my credit report, two of my open accounts was closed by the creditors (Discount Tires, Maaco Car Care & LOWES), but the most damaging of them all was Destiny Properties, LLC., which caused me financial injury, embarrassment, financial ridicule from my creditors that reviewed my credit report. Upon final approval from the underwriter's department at Destiny Properties, LLC., my approved line of credit was rejected because of the fraudulent information that Diversified Consultant, Inc. placed on my credit report for the third time. (See Exhibit "A").

POINT THREE

DIVESIFIED CONSULTANTS GROSS NEGLIGENCE AGAINST PLAINTIFF

Defendant Diversified Consultant, Inc, blatantly violated the Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 41.003(a) and Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 41.001(11). Not to mention, Diversified Consultants Inc., was not even bonded to conduct business in the State of Texas which is in violation Texas Fair Debt Collection Practices Act § 392.001. I Steven Crear, aka (Plaintiff) indicated that Diversified Consultants, Inc., have consulted

Case 3:18-cv-02312-C-BH Document 1-1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 11 of 27 PageID 14 and submitted affidavit under penalty of perjury that Plaintiff has a "0" balance with AT&T. I have submitted to Diversified Consultant Inc an affidavit via certified mail receipt number: 7015 1660 0000 7766 3942 on June 9, 2018 and it was received by Diversified Consultant Inc, on June 14, 2018.

1). After I, Steven Crear (Plaintiff) submitted the affidavit to Diversified Consultant Inc. and they received it. I was under the impression that this action would solve the problem but it did not, because of Diversified Consultants Gross Negligence, it cost me a line of credit with Destiny Properties in the amount of \$400,000. Each time after Experian did their investigation, and this fraudulent information from Diversified Consultants, Inc. was deleted. (Texas Gross Negligence viewed objectively from the standpoint of the actor, the act of omission must involve an extreme degree of risk, considering the probability and magnitude of the potential harm to others; 2) the actor must have actual, subjective awareness of the risk involved, but nevertheless proceed in conscious indifference to the rights, safety, or welfare of others, *Lee Lewis Constr.*, *Inc. v. Harrison*, 70 S.W.3d 778, 785 (Tex. 2001) (citing Transp. Ins. Co. v. Moriel, 879 S.W.2d 10.23 (Tex. 1994): see Tex. Civ. Prac. & Rem. Code § 41.00001(11). (See Exhibit "B")

POINT FOUR

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC IN VIOLATION OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICE ACT

Diversified Consultant Inc violated over 3 times FCRA Section 605c. (Creditors, collection agencies, or credit bureaus, if they try and "Re-Age" your account by updating the date of last activity on your credit report in the hopes of keeping negative information on your account longer) which Diversified Consultant Inc did. They originally place the inaccurate and fraudulent information on my account on May 21, 2018 and then Re-Aged my account June 10, 2018. Diversified Consultant Inc did not validate or conduct their due diligence in regards, to the accuracy of my account with credit bureau. They are also in violation of FDCPA Section 809(b).

Diversified Consultant Inc was in violation of misrepresentation by the collector about themselves of the debt or actionable regardless of intent. *Grearing v. Check Brokerage Corp. Cascade v. Lucas*, 775 *f. Supp.* 502, 505 (D. Conn 1990). This has been a form of harassment and abuse against Plaintiff over the years. Defendant Diversified Consultant Inc are in violation of FDPA Sec. 806 (Collection agency, if they

Case 3:18-cv-02312-C-BH Document 1-1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 12 of 27 PageID 15 use any kind of harassment or abuse) and in violation of FDCPA Section 811(a). (Collector must be in the

county in which you lived when you signed the original contract for the debt or where you live at the time

when they file the lawsuit.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF/DAMAGES

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Steven Crear sustained the following damages as a result of the actions of

Defendants described herein:

a. All reasonable and necessary Attorney's fees incurred by or on behalf of Plaintiff, including all

fees necessary in the event of an appeal of this cause to the Court of Appeals and the Supreme

Court of Texas, as the Court deems equitable and just. All conditions precedent has been

performed or has occurred.

b. Loss of income of the date of the injury to the present and future and interest on the back pay in

an amount to compensate Plaintiff, as the Court deems equitable and just;

c. All reasonable and necessary costs incurred in pursuit of this suit;

d. Emotional pain and suffering;

e. Expert fees, as the Court deems appropriate;

f. Front pay in an amount the Court deems equitable and just to make Plaintiff whole;

g. Inconvenience;

h. Pain and Suffering in the past future;

i. Exemplary Damages since Plaintiff's injuries resulted from Defendants' gross negligence or

malice;

j. Trial by jury on all triable issues.

k. Libel and Defamation.

1. Plaintiff will agree to Court Ordered Meditation with his attorney.

Steven Crear

1617 Gillarel Springs

Dallas, TX 75241

EXHIBIT A

NOTICE!

AFFIDAVIT OF DISPUTE WITH INTENT TO SUE

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANT INC

10550 Deerwood Park Blvd, Suite 309

Jacksonville, FL 32256-2805

I, Steven Crear Sr, am of sound mind and body and over the age of 18 am competent to make these actual and factual statements in regards to the third party debt collector Diversified Consultant, Inc for AT&T.

THIS IS THE THIRD TIME THIS FRAUDULENT INFORMATION HAS BEEN PUT ON MY CREDIT REPORTS FROM DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC!

AT&T- Account # 754100322

Diversified Consultants, Inc. - Account # 68420585

Certified Mail Receipt Number: 7015 1660 0000 7766 3942

- I, Steven Crear Sr, have been a customer with AT&T U-verse for over 7 years. In November 2014,
 I switched my service to another company and I was informed by AT&T U-verse customer service
 representative, that I would need to return all of the AT&T U-verse receivers. The customer service
 rep also told me that she would send me a prepaid UPS barcode sticker in order to take care of the
 shipping costs for the return of all 5 receivers which, I did receive.
- 2. I never received any notice from Diversified Consultants, Inc. I have however, made several attempt to contact Diversified Consultants, Inc thru Experian Credit Tracker, to inform them that all of the equipment was returned and the account stating that I owe is incorrect and inaccurate.
- 3. Diversified Consultants, Inc. has put an inaccurate amount on my credit report, even though I informed Experian that the amount was incorrect, so by law, I was required to contact Experian who had notified me of the collection that had been put on my credit report. Therefore, by law, in good faith I put in an official dispute with Experian to dispute the inaccurate amount that Diversified Consultants, Inc, placed on my credit report in collections. Instead of Diversified Consultants, Inc deleting the inaccurate amount. It remains on my credit report which is a violation of the Fair Debt Collection Act.
- 4. June 29, 2015, I went to get a copy of the shipping detail from UPS Store #4301 in regards to a previous collection agency in regards to this matter, and in the shipping details, it states that all AT&T U-verse 5 receivers were delivered on Monday, December 1, 2014 and it was signed by ARIZOLA at 11:05 a.m.
- 5. Moving forward, I need for Diversified Consultants, Inc, to provide me with the contract between them and AT&T and Steven Crear Sr, giving the authorization allowing them to place inaccurate amounts on my credit report. Their response should be in an affidavit format under penalty of

perjury that they are in compliance with the Fair Debt Collections Practice Act and the FCR "ACT" 1681S-2.

- 6. Litigation actions will be implemented 5 days after receipt of this notice. My financial credit reputation has been defamed and my good credit worthiness has exposed me to ridicule in the financial community. Thus, causing me, further economic damages for several loans, which I was turned down because of false and misrepresented information on my personal credit report, which Diversified Consultants Inc, is liable.
- 7. Texas Debt Collection Act 392, which Diversified Consultants, Inc, is in violation of and the Texas Financial Code and the Fair Debt Collection Act 15 U.S.C. § 1692. Diversified Consultant, Inc. is a 3rd party debt collector, is obviously attempting to collect a debt that they do not own, but is allegedly owned by others. It is obvious that Diversified Consultants, Inc, did not do their due diligence in investigating this case or, deal with me in good faith. I Steven Crear Sr., declare under penalty of perjury that the information that I have presented is true. All negative information is to be removed immediately of receipt of this Affidavit.

Steven Crear, Sr.

TAMESHA M. MOORING
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
September 30, 2018

Signature for Notary MM

Sworn and subscribed before me this day of Jan

This Affidavit is being done under penalty of perjury

cc: Experian, TransUnion, Equifax

Case 3:18-cv-02312-C-BH Document 1-1 Filed 08/30/18 Page 16 of 27 PageID 19

EXHIBIT B



Loan Number: 3366067512

May 10, 2018

Steven Crear,

Congratulations on your conditional qualification

This conditional qualification amount includes estimated property taxes and insurance and is based on a review of the income, credit and asset information you provided, which is subject to a formal underwriting review.

n e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
FHA 30 Yr Fixed	
\$400,000	
FHA 30 Yr Fixed	
August 10, 2018	
	FHA 30 Yr Fixed \$400,000 FHA 30 Yr Fixed

At Destiny Properties, we're committed to making your home purchase experience as smooth as possible. For over 20 years now, our clients have considered us a trusted lender – so trustworthy that many families across the metroplex have used our services to finance their homes.

If you or your real estate agent have any questions regarding this conditional qualification, please do not hesitate to give me a call at (469) 628-8598.

I look forward to helping you finance your new home.

Sincerely,

Morris Coe, Senior Broker

Email: <u>buyhouses100@gmail.com</u>



Destiny Properties

P.O. Box 1732, DeSoto TX 75115-6505

(469) 628-8598

June 10, 2018

524 Saddle Head DeSoto, TX 75115-6056

Dear Steven Crear:

Thank you for applying for a Destiny Properties Home Loan. We regret to inform you that your application cannot be approved at this time. If you would like a statement of specific reasons why your application was denied, please contact us at P.O. Box 1732, DeSoto, TX 75132 or (469) 628-8598 within 60 days of the date of this letter. We will provide you with the statement of reasons within 30 days after receiving your request. Our credit decision was based in whole or in part on information obtained in a credit report from the consumer credit reporting agency identified below:

EXPERIAN
P.O. BOX 9554
Allen, TX 75013
1-888-397-3742
http://www.experian.com

You have a right under the Fair Credit Reporting Act to know the information contained in your credit file at the consumer reporting agency. The reporting agency played not part in our decision and is unable to supply specific reasons why we have denied credit to you. You also have a right to a free copy of your report from the reporting agency, if you request it no later than 60 days after you received this notice. In addition, if you find that any information contained in the report you receive is inaccurate or incomplete, you have the right to dispute the matter with the reporting agency. If you have any questions regarding this letter, contact us at P.O. Box 1732, DeSoto TX 75132 or (469) 628-8598. Thank you for your interest in a Destiny Properties Home Loan.

The consumer reporting agency noted that the following key factors adversely affected your credit score:

Collections

Sincerely,
Destiny Properties Home Loan DeSoto, TX



Destiny Properties

P.O. Box 1732, DeSoto TX 75115-6505

(469) 628-8598

June 25, 2018

Steven Crear,

Per your request below is the specific reason(s) why your loan application was denied. Due to a recent collection from:

Diversified Consultant, Inc. 10550 Deerwood Park Blvd, Suite 309 Jacksonville, FL 32256-2805

Sincerely,
Destiny Properties Home Loan DeSoto, TX

STYLED Steven Crear V. Diversified Consulants Fug.

(e.g., John Smith v. All American Insurance Co, In re Mary Ann Jones; In the Matter of the Estate of George Beckson)

A civil case information sheet must be completed and submitted when an original petition or application is filed to initiate a new civil, family law, probab, or mental health case or when a post-judgment petition for modification or motion for enforcement is filed in a family law case. The information should be the best available at the time of filing. Person or entity tobrologing sheef is:

Attorney for Plaintif Petitioner

De ro Se Plaintiff Stitioner 1. Contact information for person completing case information sheet: Names of parties in case: Plaintiff(s)/Petitioner(s): Title IV-D & Steven Crear Others Telephone: Additional Parties in Child Support Case: Defendant(s)/Respondent(s): Custodial Parent: Diversitied Consultants Non-Custodial Parent: State Bar No: Signature: Presumed Father: [Attach additional page as necessary to list all parties] 2. Indicate case type, or identify the most important issue in the case (select only 1): Civil Family Law Post-judgment Actions Contract Injury or Damage Real Property Marriage Relationship (non-Title IV-D) ☐Annulment ☐Declare Marriage Void Assault/Battery ☐Eminent Domain/ Debt/Contract ☐ Enforcement Consumer/DTPA Condemnation Modification—Custody Debt/Contract
Fraud/Misrepresentation Partition Modification—Other **∠**Defamation Divorce Ouiet Title ☐With Children Malpractice Title IV-D Other Debt/Contract: ☐Trespass to Try Title ☐No Children Accounting ☐Enforcement/Modification Other Property: □Legal Paternity ☐Medical Foreclosure Reciprocals (UIFSA) Home Equity-Expedited Other Professional Support Order Other Foreclosure Liability: Related to Criminal Franchise Matters Other Family Law Parent-Child Relationship Motor Vehicle Accident □Insurance Expunction Enforce Foreign Adoption/Adoption with ☐Landlord/Tenant Premises Non-Competition ☐Judgment Nisi Product Liability Judgment Termination ☐Non-Disclosure Habeas Corpus ☐Asbestos/Silica ☐Child Protection □Partnership Seizure/Forfeiture ☐Name Change Other Product Liability ☐Child Support Other Contract: Writ of Habeas Corpus-Protective Order Custody or Visitation List Product: Pre-indictment Removal of Disabilities Gestational Parenting Other: of Minority Grandparent Access Other Injury or Damage: Other: ☐Parentage/Paternity Termination of Parental Rights Other Civil Employment . Other Parent-Child: Administrative Appeal Lawyer Discipline Discrimination Perpetuate Testimony
Securities/Stock Retaliation Antitrust/Unfair ☐Termination ☐Workers' Compensation Competition Code Violations Tortious Interference Foreign Judgment Other Employment: Other: __ ☐ Intellectual Property Tax Probate & Mental Health Tax Appraisal Probate/Wills/Intestate Administration Guardianship—Adult
Guardianship—Minor Dependent Administration Tax Delinquency ☐Independent Administration Mental Health Other Tax Other Estate Proceedings Other: 3. Indicate procedure or remedy, if applicable (may select more than 1): Appeal from Municipal or Justice Court Declaratory Judgment Prejudgment Remedy Arbitration-related Protective Order Garnishment Attachment Interpleader Receiver License ☐Bill of Review ☐ Sequestration ☐ Certiorari Temporary Restraining Order/Injunction ☐ Mandamus Class Action Post-judgment Turnover 4. Indicate damages sought (do not select if it is a family law case): Less than \$100,000, including damages of any kind, penalties, costs, expenses, pre-judgment interest, and attorney fees Less than \$100,000 and non-monetary relief Over \$100,000 but not more than \$200,000 Over \$200,000 but not more than \$1,000,000 Over \$1,000,000

Case 3:18-cv-02312-C-BH DoconventClase Intercept Studios History 20 of 2

OFFICER'S RETURN

Style: STEVEN CREAR

Case No.: DC-18-09631
Court No.101st District Court

Combo	to certify which witness my hand and seal of office.	Signed and sworn to by the said Officert	For serving Citation For mileage For Notary	me in serving such process was NA miles and m	John Crawford, Registered agent Marks Gray-1200 Riverplace Blyd,	HED CONSULTANTS INC. and on the 28th day of J County of DUV QJ
LORRAINE LECREURER Commission # GG 228867 Expires June 14, 2022		(Must be verified if served outside the State of Texas.) before me this The day of August 20 18	SNIA OF DUVAL COUNTY, Jacksonville SNIA BY DUVAL COUNTY, Jacksonville	copy of this pleading, having first endorse. To certify which witness my hand.	John Crawford, Registered agent Martis Gray- 1200 Riverplace Blvd, #800, JACKSONVIlle, FL 32207	at 10:22 o'clock A. M. on the 200 day of august

13 1116 -8 PN 2119



101st JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

GEORGE L. ALLEN COURTS BUILDING
600 COMMERCE STREET
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-4604

August 16, 2018

STEVEN CREAR 1617 GILLAREL SPRINGS DALLAS TX 75241

Cause No: DC-18-09631

STEVEN CREAR

VS.

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.

ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD AND PRO SE PARTIES:

The above case is set for dismissal, pursuant to Rule 165A, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and pursuant to the inherent power of the Court, on:

October 12, 2018 at 9:00 AM

If no answer has been filed, you are expected to have moved for a default judgment on or prior to that date. Your failure to have done so will result in the dismissal of the case on the above date.

If you have been unable to obtain service of process and you wish to retain the case on the docket, you must appear on the above date, unless you have obtained a new setting from the court coordinator.

Sincerely,

District Judge,

101st Judicial District Court

CC: DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.; STEVEN CREAR



101st JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT

GEORGE L. ALLEN COURTS BUILDING
600 COMMERCE STREET
DALLAS, TEXAS 75202-4604

August 16, 2018

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC. 10550 DEERWOOD PARK BLVD SUITE 309 JACKSONVILLE FL 32256

Cause No: DC-18-09631

STEVEN CREAR

VS.

DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.

ALL COUNSEL OF RECORD AND PRO SE PARTIES:

The above case is set for dismissal, pursuant to Rule 165A, Texas Rules of Civil Procedure and pursuant to the inherent power of the Court, on:

October 12, 2018 at 9:00 AM

If no answer has been filed, you are expected to have moved for a default judgment on or prior to that date. Your failure to have done so will result in the dismissal of the case on the above date.

If you have been unable to obtain service of process and you wish to retain the case on the docket, you must appear on the above date, unless you have obtained a new setting from the court coordinator.

Sincerely,

District Judge,

101st Judicial District Court

CC: DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.; STEVEN CREAR

CASE NO. DC-18-09631

STEVEN CREAR,	§	IN THE DISTRICT COURT
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	
v.	§	101st JUDICIAL DISTRICT
	§	
DIVERSIFIED CONSULTANTS, INC.,	§	
	§	
Defendant.	§	DALLAS COUNTY, TEXAS

DEFENDANT'S ANSWER AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

TO THE HONORABLE JUDGE OF SAID COURT:

COMES NOW, Defendant, Diversified Consultants, Inc. (DCI), in the above-styled and numbered case, and files this Original Answer and Affirmative Defenses to the Original Petition filed by Plaintiff, Steven Crear, and for its Answer respectfully shows the Court as follows:

I. GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to Rule 92 of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, DCI generally denies each and every allegation contained in Plaintiff's Complaint and demands strict proof thereof by a preponderance of the evidence.

II. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

Pursuant to the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure, DCI asserts the following affirmative defenses as authorized by the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure:

1. All state or common law claims, if any are properly stated by plaintiff, are pre-empted by the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681 *et seq.* (FCRA).

- 2. To the extent any violations are established, any such violations were not intentional and resulted from bona fide error notwithstanding the maintenance of procedures reasonably adapted to avoid any such error.
- 3. DCI denies any liability; however, regardless of liability, plaintiffs have suffered no actual damages caused by DCI's purported violations.
- 4. One or more claims asserted by plaintiff are barred by the statute of limitations, laches, estoppel, waiver and/or unclean hands.
- 5. Any harm suffered by plaintiff was legally and proximately caused by persons or entities other than DCI or who were beyond the control or supervision of DCI or whom DCI was and is not responsible or liable.
- 6. Assuming plaintiff suffered any damages, he has failed to mitigate his damages or take other reasonable steps to avoid or reduce his damages.
- 7. Plaintiff's damages, if any, are the results of acts or omissions committed by plaintiff.
- 8. DCI raises the defense of comparative and contributory negligence and asserts its right under the proportionate responsibility law and under Chapter 33 of the Texas Civil Practice and Remedies Code.
- 9. Any claims for exemplary or punitive damages asserted by Plaintiff are preempted, but if they are found not to be pre-empted violate DCI's rights under the Due Process and Excessive Fines clauses of the Fifth, Sixth, Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution and the analogous provisions of applicable

State Constitutions, and under the First Amendment of the United States Constitution and the analogous provisions of applicable State Constitutions.

10. Plaintiff has failed to state a claim against DCI upon which relief may be granted, including but not limited to the alleged claim for punitive or exemplary damages based on "gross negligence," which is in any event pre-empted by FCRA, but on which plaintiff has not alleged a specific act or omission that involved an extreme degree of risk, has not alleged any facts that would support a claim that DCI was actually subjectively aware of any risk relevant to the claims in this lawsuit, and has not alleged any facts that would support a claim that Defendant proceeded with malice and conscious indifference to the rights, safety, or welfare of others.

III. PRAYER

WHEREFORE, PREMISES CONSIDERED, Diversified Consultants, Inc. prays plaintiffs take nothing by their claims, and for all other relief to which Diversified Consultants, Inc. may be justly entitled.

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Charles R. Penot, Jr.

Charles R. Penot, Jr. (TX Bar # 24062455) Sessions, Fishman, Nathan & Israel, LLC 900 Jackson Street, Suite 440

Dallas, Texas 75202

Telephone: (214) 741-3009

Facsimile: (214) 741-3055 Email: cpenot@sessions.legal

Attorney for Defendant

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on August 24, 2018, a copy of the foregoing *Defendant's Answer and Affirmative Defenses* was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court, 101st Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, and was served via U.S. Mail upon the following:

Steven Crear 1617 Gillarel Springs Lane Dallas, TX 75241

/s/ Charles R. Penot, Jr.
Charles R. Penot, Jr.